

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA**

KAYREE REID,
Petitioner,

v.

**SUPERINTENDENT, SCI PINE
GROVE, et al.,**
Respondents.

:
:
:
:
:
:
:
:

CIVIL ACTION NO. 22-CV-1863

ORDER

AND NOW, this 5th day of March, 2025, upon careful and independent consideration of Petitioner Kayree Reid’s Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus (Doc. No. 1), Mr. Reid’s Amended Petition (Doc. No. 13), the Commonwealth’s Response (Doc. No. 19), Mr. Reid’s Reply (Doc. No. 24), and the Report and Recommendation of U.S. Magistrate Judge Richard A. Lloret, to which no Objections were received, it is **ORDERED** that:

1. The Report and Recommendation of Magistrate Judge Richard A. Lloret [Doc. 27] is **APPROVED and ADOPTED**;

2. Mr. Reid’s Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus is **DISMISSED** with prejudice by separate Judgment, filed contemporaneously with this Order. See Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 58(a); Rules Governing Section 2254 Cases in the United States District Courts, Rule 12;

3. No certificate of appealability shall issue under 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(1)(A) because “the applicant has [not] made a substantial showing of the denial of a constitutional right[,]” under 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(2), since he has not demonstrated that “reasonable jurists” would find my “assessment of the constitutional claims debatable or wrong.” *Slack v. McDaniel*, 529 U.S. 473, 484 (2000); see *United States v. Cepero*, 224 F.3d 256, 262-63 (3d Cir. 2000), abrogated on other grounds by *Gonzalez v. Thaler*, 565 U.S. 134 (2012); and

4. The Clerk of Court shall mark this file closed.

BY THE COURT:

/s/ Jeffrey L. Schmehl
JEFFREY L. SCHMEHL, J.